- (3) A budget with supporting justification; and
- (4) Any required preaward assurances.
- (d) *Incomplete applications*. DOE may return an application that:
- (1) Is not signed, either in writing or electronically, by an official authorized to bind the applicant; or
- (2) Omits any information or documentation required by statute, program rule, or the solicitation, if the nature of the omission precludes review of the application.
- (e) Supplemental information. During the review of a complete application, DOE may request the submission of additional information only if the information is essential to evaluate the application.

§600.11 Intergovernmental review.

Intergovernmental review of DOE financial assistance shall be conducted in accordance with 10 CFR part 1005.

§600.12 Generally applicable requirements.

(a) Except as expressly exempted by Federal statute or program rule, recipients and subrecipients of DOE financial assistance shall comply with all generally applicable requirements to which they are subject. Generally applicable requirements include, but are not limited to, the requirements of this part, Federal statutes, the OMB Circulars and other Governmentwide guidance implemented by this part, Executive Orders, and the requirements identified in appendix A of this part.

(b) Provisions shall be made to design and construct all buildings, in which DOE funds are used, to meet appropriate seismic design and construction standards. Seismic codes and standards meeting or exceeding the provisions of each of the model codes listed in this paragraph are considered to be appropriate for purposes of this part. These codes provide a level of seismic safety that is substantially equivalent to the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for the Development of Seismic Regulations for New Buildings, 1988 Edition (Federal Emergency Management Administration 222 and 223). Revisions of these model codes that are

substantially equivalent to or exceed the then current or immediately preceding edition of the NEHRP Recommended Provisions (which are updated triennially) shall be considered to be appropriate standards. The model codes are as follows:

- (1) 1991 Uniform Building Code, of the International Council of Building Officials
- (2) 1992 Supplement to the National Building Code, of the Building Official and Code Administrators International.
- (3) 1992 Amendments to the Standard Building Code, of the Southern Building Code Congress International.

§600.13 Objective merit review.

- (a) General. (1) It is the policy of DOE that any financial assistance be awarded through a merit-based selection process. Objective merit review means a thorough, consistent and independent examination of applications based on pre-established criteria by persons knowledgeable in the field of endeavor for which support is requested.
- (2) Each program office must establish an objective merit review system covering the financial assistance programs it administers. Objective merit review of financial assistance applications is intended to be advisory and is not intended to replace the authority of the project/program official with responsibility for deciding whether an award will be made. It is expected that the cognizant project/program officer(s) who will select or be in the direct chain of supervision recommending selection or rejection of applications will not be a part of the objective review group. The objective merit review system must set forth the relationship between the reviewing individuals, or the review committees or groups, program/ project management involved with directly advising the selection official with respect to program/project policy considerations and the selection official who has the final decision-making authority. In defining this relationship, the system must set out, as a minimum, the decision-making and documentation processes to be followed by the selection official in accepting or rejecting objective merit review recommendations.

- (b) Each formal review system must contain the following elements:
- (1) Basic review standards. Applications should undergo an initial review for conformance with technical and administrative requirements stated in the notice or solicitation and for funding availability. For applications which pass the initial review, the DOE evaluation shall be in accordance with stated evaluation criteria set forth in the applicable program rule or notice, solicitation, or, where appropriate, the unsolicited proposal criteria in §600.6(c)(7).
- (2) Applications which have successfully completed an initial review are normally subjected to an objective merit review by a group comprised of three or more professionally and technically qualified persons. This advisory review is limited to technical and/or cost matters and should be separate from any programmatic review of program/policy factors involved in making a selection/rejection decision.
- (3) The reviewers of any particular application may be any mixture of federal or non-federal experts, including individuals from within the cognizant program office, except those involved in approving/disapproving the application. The DOE shall select external (non-DOE Federal or non-federal) reviewers on the basis of their professional qualifications and expertise.
- (c) Reviewers with interest in application being reviewed. Reviewers must comply with the requirements for the avoidance of conflict of interest established in §600.14.
- (d) Outside reviewers. An outside reviewer shall be required to sign, either in writing or electronically, a written statement agreeing to use the application information only for review and to treat it in confidence except to the extent that the information is available to the general public without restriction as to its use from any source, including the applicant. Further, the reviewer shall be required to agree to comply with any notice or restriction placed on the application. Upon completion of the review, the reviewer shall return all copies of the application (or abstracts, if any) to DOE; and unless authorized by DOE, the reviewer

shall not contact the applicant concerning any aspect of the application.

§600.14 Conflict of interest.

Any person who participates in the review of applications for DOE financial assistance or in the administration of DOE financial assistance shall comply with 1010.101(a) and 1010.302(a)(1) of the DOE rules on the conduct of employees and special employees (consultants) at 10 CFR part 1010. Current and former DOE employees who participate in any aspect of the financial assistance process shall comply with all applicable requirements of 10 CFR part 1010.

§ 600.15 Authorized uses of information.

- (a) General. Information contained in applications shall be used only for evaluation purposes unless such information is generally available to the public or is already the property of the Government. The Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905, prohibits the unauthorized disclosure by Federal employees of trade secret and confidential business information.
- (b) Treatment of application information. (1) An application may include technical data and other data, including trade secrets and/or privileged or confidential commercial or financial information, which the applicant does not want disclosed to the public or used by the Government for any purpose other than application evaluation. To protect such data, the applicant should specifically identify each page including each line or paragraph thereof containing the data to be protected and mark the cover sheet of the application with the following Notice as well as referring to the Notice on each page to which the Notice applies:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data

The data contained in pages _____ of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the